

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandris, Virginia 22343-1450 www.uspio.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE . | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/002.744 | 11/02/2001 | Toshio Ueno | 01701/LH | 3837 |
| 1933 7590 02/07/2007 FRISHAUF, HOLTZ, GOODMAN & CHICK, PC 220 Fifth Avenue | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | LIN, KELVIN Y | |
| 16TH Floor | NY 10001-7708 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| NEW TORK, I | | | 2142 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 02/07/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Nation of Abandanmant | 10/002,744 | UENO, TOSHIO | | | | |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Kelvin Lin | 2142 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | · | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of New period for reply (including a total extension of time of time) | Mailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on | · | | | | |
| (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection | | | | | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 | d Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o | • | | | | |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). | | | | | | |
| (d) ⊠ No reply has been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | | | | |
| (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory particular (PTOL-85). | • | • | | | | |
| (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance | e of \$ is due. | | | | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | | | | | | |
| (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no | ot been received. | • | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). | | | | | | |
| (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. | | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received. | | | | | | |
| 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. | | | | | | |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | attorney or agent (acting in a repres | entative capacity under 37 CFR | | | | |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. | | | | | | |
| 7. Mathematical The reason(s) below: | | • | | | | |
| Called Applicant's Attorney, Douglas Holtz, Reg. No last Office Action has been filed. | o. 33902, on November 1, 2006 to | confirm that no response to the | | | | |
| | andrae | 1 Calelet C | | | | |
| | ANDREW CALDWELL | | | | | |
| SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. | | | | | | |
| U.S. Patent and Trademark Office | of Abandonment | Part of Paper No. 20070202 | | | | |
| | | | | | | |